

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Aloxandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/773,502	02/02/2001	Keiichi Kusumoto	10873.644US01	3298
75	90 07/25/2003			
Merchant & Gould P.C.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2903			EXAMINER	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			PATEL, PARESH H	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
		DATE MAIL ED. 07/25/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/773,502	KUSUMOTO, KEIICHI
,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paresh Patel	2829
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sh et with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (' condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the statu	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	s Brief must be filed within the p	period set forth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>New</u> ciaris are Broader.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	· · ———	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	□ will be entered and an w or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>20,21,23,43,45,47 and 49-51</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22,24,46,48		Λ
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	1.	roved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1	
	$U_{\mathtt{KA}}$	MAND CUNEO
	SUPERVISO	PY PATENT EXAMINER LOGY CENTER 2800